



# Town of Yountville

6550 Yount Street  
Yountville, CA 94599

## Staff Report

**Agenda Item #:** {{section.number}}B

---

## Yountville Town Council Staff Report

**DATE:** March 21, 2023

**TO:** Mayor and Town Council

**FROM:** Steve Rogers, Town Manager

**PREPARED BY:** Eddy Gomez, Town Clerk

**SUBJECT:**

Adopt Resolution Number 23-4192 Opposing Initiative Number 21-0042A1 the Taxpayer Protection and Government Accountability Act.

**DISCUSSION/BACKGROUND**

On Jan. 4, 2022, the California Business Roundtable filed the “Taxpayer Protection and Government Accountability Act” or AG# 21-0042A1. On Feb. 1, 2023, the measure qualified for the November 2024 ballot. The League of California Cities, along with a broad coalition of local governments, labor and public safety leaders, infrastructure advocates, and businesses, strongly opposes this initiative.

Local government revenue-raising authority is currently substantially restricted by state statute and constitutional provisions, including the voter-approved provisions of Proposition 13 of 1978, Proposition 218 of 1996, and Proposition 26 of 2010. The Taxpayer Protection and Government Accountability Act adds and expands restrictions on voters and local government tax and fee authority.

**Fees and Taxes**

Local governments levy a variety of fees and other charges to provide core public services.

Several examples of affected fees and charges are:

- Nuisance abatement charges, such as for weed, rubbish, and general nuisance abatement to fund community safety, code enforcement, and neighborhood cleanup programs.
- Commercial franchise fees.
- Emergency response fees, such as in connection with DUI.
- Advanced Life Support (ALS) transport charges.
- Document processing and duplication fees.
- Transit fees, tolls, parking fees, and public airport and harbor use fees.
- Facility use charges, fees for parks and recreation services, garbage disposal tipping fees.

If approved, the Town of Yountville must regularly (e.g., annually) adopt increases to fee rates and charges and revise rate schedules to accommodate new users and activities. Most of these would be subject to new standards and limitations under threat of legal challenge.

Based on the current number of fees and charges charged by local agencies throughout the state, including council-adopted increases to simply accommodate inflation. Cal Cities estimates the amount of local government fee and charge revenue at risk is approximately \$2 billion per year including those adopted since Jan. 1, 2022.

Over ten years, \$20 billion of local government fees and charge revenues will be at heightened legal peril.

Statewide, hundreds of local tax measures were approved in 2022 that likely do not comply with the provisions of the initiative. Nearly \$2 billion of annual revenues from these voter-approved measures will cease a year after the effective date of the measure, reducing the local public services funded by these measures, unless the tax is re-submitted for voter approval.

Reductions on local government tax revenues have impacts on core services and infrastructure including fire and emergency response, law enforcement, streets and roads, drinking water, sewer sanitation, parks, libraries, public schools, affordable housing, homelessness prevention, and mental health services.

### **Fines and Penalties**

Under existing law, cities are required to provide due process before imposing a penalty or fine for violation of its municipal code:

1. A local agency must adopt administrative procedures that govern imposing fines and penalties, including providing a reasonable period of time for a person responsible for a continuing violation to correct or remedy the violation [Gov't Code 53069.4].
2. Notice must be given to the violating party before imposing the penalty; and give the party an opportunity to be heard and present any facts or arguments [Merco Construction Engineers v. Los Angeles Unified School District (1969) 274 CA 2d 154, 166].
3. The fine may not be "excessive" [U.S. Constitution amendments VIII and XIV].

The initiative converts administratively-imposed fines and penalties into taxes unless a new, undefined, and ambiguous "adjudicatory due process" is followed. This provision may put at risk authority to impose fines and penalties for violations of state and local law.

The Taxpayer Protection and Government Accountability Act would amend the California Constitution with provisions that limit voters' authority and input, adopt new and stricter rules for raising taxes and fees, and may make it more difficult to impose fines and penalties for violation of state and local laws.

The measure puts billions of local government tax and fee revenues at risk statewide with related core public service impacts.

The proposed constitutional initiative is sponsored by the California Business Roundtable.

[\(Full text of Ballot Initiative\)](#)

### **Mayor Provisions**

Fees and Charges :

- Except for licensing and other regulatory fees, fees and charges may not exceed the "actual cost" of providing the product or service for which the fee is charged. "Actual cost" is the "minimum amount necessary." The burden to prove the fee or charge does not exceed "actual cost" is changed to "clear and convincing" evidence.
- Requires fees and charges paid for the use of local and state government property and the amount paid to purchase or rent government property to be "reasonable." These fees and charges are currently allowed to be market-based. Whether the amount is "reasonable" (introducing a new legal standard aiming to force below market fee and charge amounts) must be proved by "clear and convincing evidence." The standard may significantly reduce the amount large companies (e.g., oil, utilities, gas, railroads, garbage/refuse, cable, and other corporations) will pay for the use of local public property.
- Prohibits fees on new development based on vehicle miles traveled.

Taxes:

- Taxes and fees adopted after Jan. 1, 2022, that do not comply with the new rules, are void unless reenacted.
- Invalidates Upland decision that allows a majority of local voters to pass special taxes. The measure specifies that taxes proposed by the initiative are subject to the same rules as taxes placed on the ballot

by a city council.

- Expressly prohibits local advisory measures which allow local voters to express a preference for how local general tax dollars should be spent.
- Requires voter approval to expand existing taxes (e.g., Utility, Transient Occupancy) to new territory (e.g., annexations) or to expand the tax base (e.g., new utility service)
- New taxes can only be imposed for a specific time period.
- All state taxes require majority voter approval.

**Fines and Penalties :**

- May require voter approval of fines, penalties, and levies for corporations and property owners that violate state and local laws unless a new, undefined adjudicatory process is used to impose the fines and penalties.

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

Exempt per California Environmental Act (CEQA) Guideline, Section 15061(b)(3)

**FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact? Yes

Is it Currently Budgeted? N/A

Where is it Budgeted? N/A

Is it Mandatory or Discretionary? Discretionary

Is there a Staff Resource Impact? Nominal

**STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL**

Is item Identified in Strategic Plan? Yes

If yes, Identify Strategic Goal and Objective. **Visionary Leadership:** The Town's leadership maintains an open-minded, forward-thinking decision-making process. We value engagement and participation from all members of the community as we work together to create policies and plan for the future.

Briefly Explain Relationship to Strategic Plan Goal and Objective. Opposing initiative number 21-0042A1 the Taxpayer Protection and Government Accountability Act gives Yountville voters authority and input to adopt new and stricter rules for raising taxes and fees.

**RECOMMENDATION**

Adopt Resolution Number 23-4192 Opposing Initiative Number 21-0042A1 the Taxpayer Protection and Government Accountability Act.